

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No 1237 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? No.
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? No.
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? No.
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? No.
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? No.
-

STATE OF GUJARAT

Versus

KANUBHAI MANILAL BHATT

Appearance:

MR S.P. DAVE A.P.P. for APPELLANT STATE.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 23/03/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

This appeal is directed against the impugned judgment and order of acquittal dated 23rd September, 1997 rendered by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nadiad in Food Case No. 18 of 1996.

2. I have heard learned A.P.P. for the appellant State. I have gone through the judgment impugned in this

appeal. It appears from the acquittal judgment that the accused persons have been acquitted on number of grounds inter alia on the ground that even the report of the Public Analyst does not indicate such adulteration in the edible oil as would be injurious to the human health.

3. In the facts and circumstances of the case and bearing in mind the detailed reasons given by the learned Magistrate and the grounds on which acquittal has been accorded to the accused persons, I find that this is not a fit case for entertaining this acquittal appeal. Hence, this appeal is rejected.

-0-0-0-0-0-